

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,052	07/12/2001	Hiroshi Samukawa	03310/018001	3451
22511 7	590 05/13/2003			
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
1221 MCKINNEY AVENUE SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765 DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		,,					
Office Action Summary	09/905,052	SAMUKAWA, HIROSHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE addition amount of the second	Lynette T. Umez-Eronini	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/905,052

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 1-8, drawn to an etching solution, classified in class 252, subclass 79.1.
 - II. Claims 9-18, drawn to a method of etching, classified in class 438, subclass 745.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as one that does not require etching a film-like resin layer.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/905,052

Art Unit: 1765

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

5. A telephone call was made to Jonathan P. Osha on May 6, 2003 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being

made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lynette T. Umez-Eronini whose telephone number is

703-306-9074. The examiner can normally be reached on the First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on 703-308-3836. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310

for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Itue May 12, 2003

lm 120

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

BEST AVAILABLE COPY